



GO-TO-MARKET & PRICING

TAM is not a budget

A market-size number marks an opportunity, not a sales forecast. Separate market potential, firm reach, resources, and ROI before funding it.

Sinan Isoglu, MBA (Quantic)

Commercial growth leader, lecturer and doctoral researcher

PUBLISHED

27 August 2026

READING TIME

5 min

WORDS

1,106

<https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/tam-is-not-a-budget/>

Management summary	2
Why is total addressable market distinct from a realistic sales forecast?	3
How should commercial teams define the market boundary before estimation?	4
Which estimation methodology matches specific strategic decisions?	4
How can market potential calculations serve as disciplined capital gates?	4
Why do top-down TAM figures fail to establish commercial return on investment?	5
Where are the methodological boundaries of market sizing models?	5
References	6

MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

TAM can be enormous and still be useless for a budget. A market-size number becomes decision-ready only when its boundary, unit, date, method, and decision use are visible. Goodman distinguishes market potential from a sales forecast conditional on marketing decisions. Natarajarathinam and Nepal separate country market potential, company sales potential, and return on investment in a bounded manufacturing case. Waheeduza-man shows why methods trade precision against prediction, price, and pragmatism. Bruna demonstrates that a domestic market-potential measure changes with the rule used to represent internal distance. The result is a four-stage ledger from market environment to firm reach, required resources, and investment economics. It does not invent market share, a current TAM, or ROI. It states what must be true before a market-size number can allocate cash.

Keywords: Total Addressable Market · Market potential · Sales potential · Market entry · Budget allocation

A huge TAM can still produce a bad plan. The number may describe everyone who could theoretically buy, while the budget must answer a narrower question: what can this firm sell, with which resources, over which horizon, at what return?

That question connects to the market-entry decision, where language, offer, price, route, and commitment change what a firm can actually reach.

The fix is not a more heroic market-size estimate. Separate four objects. Market potential describes the environment. Firm sales potential describes reachable sales under stated conditions. Resource requirements describe what the plan consumes. Return on investment describes whether the investment deserves funding. Until those objects are visible, TAM is an opportunity boundary, not a budget.

Why is total addressable market distinct from a realistic sales forecast?

Goodman separates market potential from a sales forecast. Potential describes an opportunity or market state. A forecast is an expectation conditional on marketing decisions. That distinction explains how a top-down market-size number can be directionally useful and still fail to guide one company’s plan.

The four objects belong in one decision record, but they should not be collapsed into one number:

Table 1 The market allocation ledger

Stage	Question	Minimum record	Output	Invalid leap
Market potential	What exists in the defined market environment?	Buyer, geography, unit, date, price basis, boundary, and method	Opportunity boundary	Treating the whole environment as company sales
Firm sales potential	What could this firm sell under stated conditions?	Offer, route, fit, capacity, sales coverage, competition, and assumptions	Reachable sales scenario	Calling an assumption a market share
Required resources	What must the plan consume to reach that scenario?	Launch, service, delivery, working capital, people, time, and constraints	Resource case	Treating a market-size estimate as a cost plan
Return on investment	Does the investment deserve funding over the chosen horizon?	Cash flows, investment, horizon, outcome, downside, and decision rule	Investment decision	Inferring ROI from market size alone

Goodman (1972), Natarajarithinam and Nepal (2012), Waheeduzzaman (2008), and Bruna (2024). Framework rows are the author's synthesis.

TAM, SAM, and SOM can be useful labels, but only after the boundary is written. TAM names the total addressable environment. SAM names the serviceable portion given the offer and route. SOM names an obtainable market

scenario under capacity, competition, and execution assumptions. None of the three becomes observed demand merely because it has an acronym.

How should commercial teams define the market boundary before estimation?

The number is not just its numerator. State whether it measures units, revenue, accounts, or another object. State the geography, time basis, currency, buyer definition, price basis, and inclusion rule. State whether the result is historical, current, or a scenario. If the number is built from a model, name the inputs that could change it.

Bruna's regional analysis makes the measurement point concrete. The result for domestic market potential depends in part on how the internal distance or self-potential component is represented. That is not a minor technical footnote. Change the rule and the meaning of the comparison changes with it. A firm does not need to copy a spatial-economics measure to learn the lesson. It needs to keep the measurement choice beside the market-size result.

Which estimation methodology matches specific strategic decisions?

Waheeduzzaman compares market-potential approaches by more than precision. Analogy, proxy indicators, chain-ratio methods, time series, and regression each require different data and produce different risks. His comparison keeps prediction, price, and pragmatism beside precision.

That is a useful stop against false exactness. A method that is mathematically elaborate but poorly matched to the decision can be less useful than a simpler method with transparent assumptions. Write the decision first: screen a country, size a segment, plan capacity, test an offer, or authorize a launch. Then choose the method whose blind spots the decision can tolerate.

How can market potential calculations serve as disciplined capital gates?

Use the ledger in sequence:

- 1 Define the market object. Record the TAM, SAM, or SOM boundary, unit, date, price basis, and method.
- 2 Define firm reach. Record the offer, route, capacity, sales coverage, competition, and conditions that make the sales scenario possible.
- 3 Price the effort. Record the people, delivery, service, working capital, timing, and constraints required to reach the scenario.
- 4 Test the investment. Record the cash flows, horizon, outcome, downside, and decision rule before calculating ROI.

Natarajarithnam and Nepal illustrate a version of this movement from country market potential to company sales potential and then to ROI in a fastener manufacturer's assessment of Mexico. The case is useful because it puts the categories in order. It is not a universal market-entry formula, and it does not remove the assumptions a different firm would have to supply.

Why do top-down TAM figures fail to establish commercial return on investment?

A large market can support a screening decision. It cannot, by itself, support an investment decision. The investment question begins when the firm states what it can reach, what that reach costs, when the cash leaves, and what outcome would count as success or failure.

The later forecast value added audit tests the realized process against an outcome. It cannot turn an unbounded TAM into a budget before that boundary work is done.

That is why a budget review should reject a market-size number that has no unit, date, boundary, method, or decision use. It is not rejecting the market. It is refusing to make the market carry a sales forecast and an ROI calculation it cannot contain.

Where are the methodological boundaries of market sizing models?

This piece does not estimate a current market, assign a universal market share, or calculate a firm-specific ROI. It uses four full-text studies to separate the objects a budget needs. TAM is a useful opportunity boundary. It becomes a funding input only after firm reach, resources, horizon, and return are stated.

REFERENCES

- Bruna, F. (2024). Market potential: The measurement of domestic market size. *Letters in Spatial and Resource Sciences*, 17, Article 13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12076-024-00378-8>
- Goodman, C. S. (1972). Measuring industrial markets: Uses and limitations of available data for market measurement. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 3, 279-293. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-8501\(72\)90020-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-8501(72)90020-X)
- Natarajarathinam, M., & Nepal, B. (2012). A holistic approach to market assessment for a manufacturing company in an emerging economy. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 41, 1142-1151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2012.05.003>
- Waheeduzzaman, A. N. M. (2008). Market potential estimation in international markets: A comparison of methods. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 21(4), 307-320. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08911760802206144>

END OF ESSAY

HOW TO CITE THIS ESSAY

Isoglu, S. (2026, August 27). TAM is not a budget. Sinan Isoglu.
<https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/tam-is-not-a-budget/>

KEEP READING

Go-to-market & pricing

Market prioritisation is a portfolio decision, not a TAM ranking

<https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/market-prioritisation-is-a-portfolio-decision-not-a-tam-ranking/>

Go-to-market & pricing

Go-to-market strategy is a resource-allocation system

<https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/go-to-market-strategy-is-a-resource-allocation-system/>

Go-to-market & pricing

Localization is a market-entry decision

<https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/localization-is-a-market-entry-decision/>



Sinan Isoglu, MBA (Quantic)

Commercial growth leader, lecturer and doctoral researcher