REVENUE OPERATIONS & AI

Digitization changes sales effectiveness and job insecurity

Digitization can improve sales effectiveness and heighten job-insecurity concerns: review capability, control, communication, and risk together.

Sinan Isoglu, MBA (Quantic)

Commercial growth leader, lecturer and doctoral researcher

PUBLISHED
1 September 2026

READING TIME
6 min

WORDS
1,343

https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/digitization-changes-sales-effectiveness-and-job-insecurity/

CONTENTS

MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

Digitization is often presented as either a performance upgrade or a threat to work. Johnson and Bharadwaj's study of salespeople in 168 firms reports a paired result: digitization of selling activity improves sales effectiveness while heightening job-insecurity concerns. The two outcomes should not be averaged into one sentiment or productivity score. Human-capital development, salesforce control systems, and communication of the digitization strategy are discussed as managerial levers. Ahearne, Hughes, and Schillewaert provide an adjacent CRM contrast in which technology is connected to knowledge and selling activity, not merely provision. This article builds a paired-outcome review card. It does not diagnose a current workforce, forecast automation, or claim that one lever solves the tension.

Keywords: Digitization of selling activity · Sales effectiveness · Job insecurity · Revenue Operations

Digitization can improve sales effectiveness while making the work feel less secure.

The short answer is that performance gain and perceived employment risk are separate outcomes. Johnson and Bharadwaj study salespeople in 168 firms and report that digitization of selling activity improves sales effectiveness while heightening job-insecurity concerns. That is not a contradiction. A system can make some selling work more effective while changing how people understand control, skill, and their future role.

The source also points to human-capital development, salesforce control systems, and communication of the digitization strategy as managerial levers. Ahearne, Hughes, and Schillewaert supply an adjacent CRM contrast: technology is connected to knowledge and selling activity when it is integrated into work. Neither source gives a current workforce diagnosis or a causal recipe.

How does sales digitization simultaneously alter effectiveness and job insecurity?

A digitization review often starts with a single question: did productivity improve? That question can erase the work experience that makes implementation durable or fragile. Keep the outcomes separate:

Table 1 How does sales digitization simultaneously alter effectiveness and job insecurity?

Outcome	Question	What it does not prove
Sales effectiveness	Did the selling activity become more effective under the study's measure?	That the change improved every role or task.
Job insecurity	Did concern about continued employment or work role increase?	That jobs will disappear or that the concern is irrational.
Capability	Which knowledge and skills are now required?	That training alone will solve the transition.
Control	Which activity, behavior, or outcome becomes more visible or governed?	That more visibility is automatically better management.
Communication	What strategy and role change were explained?	That a message creates trust or adoption by itself.

Table from this essay. Sources and interpretation are given in the article.

The paired result is the object. A positive effectiveness number cannot cancel a negative insecurity signal, and a concern signal cannot prove that the technology failed.

Figure 1 The digitization paired-outcome review card

Review field	Capability or effectiveness question	Work-risk question	Release boundary
Work change	Which selling activity became more effective?	Which task, skill, or role became uncertain?	Name the work change before interpreting the result.
Knowledge	What knowledge is now available or required?	Who may be expected to learn it, and with what support?	Do not call provision capability.
Control	Which behavior or outcome is more visible?	How might visibility change perceived autonomy or evaluation?	More monitoring is not automatically better control.
Communication	What digitization strategy was explained?	Which role, boundary, and uncertainty remain unresolved?	A message is not proof of trust.
Outcome	Which effectiveness object was measured?	Which insecurity measure was used?	Keep the two outcomes separate.

Author's review framework grounded in Johnson and Bharadwaj (2005) and Ahearne, Hughes, and Schillewaert (2007). The prompts are synthetic and do not assess a current workforce or implementation.

What the 168-firm study contributes

Johnson and Bharadwaj examine digitization of selling activity among salespeople in 168 firms. Their paired result is the anchor: digitization is reported to improve sales effectiveness while heightening concerns about job insecurity.

The result is easy to flatten. One reading celebrates the effectiveness result and treats insecurity as resistance. Another treats insecurity as proof that digitization is harmful. Neither reading preserves the study's contribution. The same change can alter the work people can do and the security they think they have.

This does not mean that effectiveness and insecurity move in a fixed direction in every organization. The study supplies a bounded empirical result, not a law of technology. It also does not establish that job-insecurity concerns predict actual job loss. The concern is an outcome worth measuring because it changes how the work is experienced and may influence the conditions under which technology is used.

Capability, control, and communication are different levers

The study identifies human-capital development, salesforce control systems, and communication of the digitization strategy as managerial levers for technology-enabled multichannel capability. A lever is not the same as a tested remedy. The distinction matters when a team writes an implementation plan.

Human-capital development asks whether people can interpret and use the changed technology and whether the organization has a way to build the relevant skills. Salesforce control asks what becomes visible, monitored, coached, or rewarded. Strategy communication asks whether the purpose, role changes, and boundaries of the digitization effort are explained.

These levers can interact. A tool can increase the information available to a seller while also increasing the visibility of activity to a manager. A communication can explain a strategy while leaving a skill gap untouched. A training session can build a skill while leaving the incentive or evaluation system unchanged. The paired-outcome card keeps these questions in different fields so the organization can review them rather than infer one from another.

The CRM contrast: provision is not work integration

Ahearne et al. study CRM-based IT and connect acceptance with sales effectiveness through knowledge and selling activities. Their contribution is adjacent, not identical. It helps explain why digitization should be reviewed at the level of work integration. A CRM system that is available but ignored is different from one that changes how a seller prepares, targets, presents, or manages a call.

That contrast also limits the transfer. Ahearne et al. do not supply a job-insecurity result for the digitization study. Johnson and Bharadwaj do not turn the CRM knowledge path into a universal implementation model. The two sources support a narrower point: capability and work integration should be inspected before the organization claims that a technology rollout changed performance.

A synthetic paired-outcome review

Imagine a team preparing a digitization review without using employee data. The team can ask:

1. Which selling activity is expected to become more effective?
2. Which new knowledge or skill makes that change possible?
3. Which behavior or outcome becomes visible to the control system?
4. Which role, task, or evaluation condition may feel less secure?
5. What strategy and role boundary were communicated?
6. Which effectiveness and insecurity outcomes will be measured separately?
7. What result would change the training, control, communication, or rollout decision?

The example is intentionally incomplete. It does not predict whether a current program will succeed. It forces the team to state what success and risk mean before a single “digital transformation” score is used.

The runnable review sequence

Before describing a sales digitization program as successful, record:

1. the selling activity and role boundary;
2. the capability or effectiveness object and measurement horizon;
3. the skill and knowledge change required;
4. the control change, including what becomes visible or monitored;
5. the communication of strategy, role, and decision authority;

6. the job-insecurity measure and the uncertainty it captures;
7. which findings are source-reported and which are implementation hypotheses;
8. the result that would revise the program.

This sequence does not remove the tension. It makes the tension governable. A decision can accept an effectiveness gain with unresolved insecurity only if it names the tradeoff and sets a review condition.

What this review does not establish

Johnson and Bharadwaj do not provide a current workforce forecast, and Ahearne et al. do not establish a universal CRM or digitization effect. The paired result belongs to the 168-firm and salesperson setting. The managerial levers are not a tested guarantee that job insecurity will decline.

The stopping rule is concrete. Do not release “digitization improved sales performance” without naming the effectiveness object, work change, study boundary, and the separate insecurity result. Do not release “the technology threatens jobs” from an insecurity measure alone. The two outcomes must remain visible until the decision can address both.

The digitization boundary connects to the sales-tooling minefield twenty-four years on and human-machine work division.

Ahearne, M., D. E. Hughes, and N. Schillewaert. (2007). Why Sales Reps Should Welcome Information Technology: Measuring the Impact of CRM-Based IT on Sales Effectiveness. International Journal of Research in Marketing, 24(4), 336-349. DOI

Johnson, D. S., and S. Bharadwaj. (2005). Digitization of Selling Activity and Sales Force Performance: An Empirical Investigation. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 33(1), 36-55. DOI

END OF ESSAY

HOW TO CITE THIS ESSAY

Isoglu, S. (2026, September 1). Digitization changes sales effectiveness and job insecurity. Sinan Isoglu. https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/digitization-changes-sales-effectiveness-and-job-insecurity/

KEEP READING

Revenue operations & AI

What are sales enablement metrics? Measure behavior change before activity

https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/what-are-sales-enablement-metrics/

Revenue operations & AI

What is sales capacity planning?

https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/what-is-sales-capacity-planning/

Revenue operations & AI

What is sales enablement?

https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/what-is-sales-enablement/

Sinan Isoglu, MBA (Quantic)
Commercial growth leader, lecturer and doctoral researcher

Online version: https://isoglu.com/insights/journal/digitization-changes-sales-effectiveness-and-job-insecurity/